

HPEM 5360 Quality Improvement and Efficacy in Health Credit Hours: 3

Semester: Fall Year: 2023
Class Days/Times: Wed; 6:30–9:30 pm Class Location: Online

Synchronous classes when scheduled

Instructor of Record: Michael H. Kennedy, PhD, MHA, FACHE Associate Professor

Lean Six Sigma Green Belt in Healthcare

Office: H241

Office Phone: (903) 877-1402

E-Mail: mkennedy@uttyler.edu

Office Hours: Fridays from 1 - 4 pm and by appointment.

Course Description: This course will develop the foundations of quality and process improvement that lead to higher levels of efficacy, efficiency and effectiveness in health organizations and programs. This course will explore the basis of Quality Improvement (QI) consisting of systematic and continuous actions that lead to measurable improvement in health care services and the health status of targeted patient groups. The methodology of the course will begin with "how things are done now," considering health care performance as defined by an organization's efficiency and outcome of care, and level of patient satisfaction. Quality is directly linked to an organization's service delivery approach or underlying systems of care throughout the continuum of care. The student will understand that to achieve a different level of performance (i.e., results) and improve quality and efficacy, an organization's current system needs to change. Lastly, this course will focus on a successful QI culture that incorporates the following four key principles: QI work as systems and processes; focus on patients and community groups, especially rural areas; focus on being part of the team; and focus on use of the data and analyses of information.

Prerequisite: None. Co-requisite: None

Student Learning Outcomes (SLO or "course objective"): Upon successfully completing this course, the student will be able to:

- 1. Describe the evolution of health care quality improvement. [PLOs 1.4 and 2.4; A.2 and A.8]
- 2. Employ process analysis tools to examine and improve health care operations. [PLOs 2.2 and 5.3; A.1, A.3, A.5, and A.8]
- 3. Employ Lean tools. [PLOs 2.2 and 5.3; A.1, A.3, A.5, and A.8]
- 4. Build and interpret statistical process control charts. [PLOs 2.2 and 5.3; A.1, A.3, A.5, & A.8]
- 5. Determine process capability. [PLOs 2.2 and 5.3; A.1, A.3, A.5, and A.8]
- 6. Explain DMAIC as a problem-solving approach within the context of Lean Six Sigma. [PLOs 2.2; A.2 and A.8]
- 7. Trace the natural flow of patients through a health care practice using Visio. [PLOs 2.2 and 5.1 5.3; A.3, A.5, and A.8]
- 8. Build a value stream map. [PLOs 2.2 and 3; A.1, A.3, A.5, and A.8; B.1, B.2 and B.3]
- 9. Develop an action plan to improve patient flow. [PLOs 4 and 6; A.10, B.1, B.2 and B.3]



Course Assessment/Methods of Evaluation:

Assignments ¹	Points	Percentage
Project: Value Stream Mapping Project	100	20%
	400	000/
Exam 1	100	20%
Exam 2	100	20%
HW 1: Article Review	10	2%
HW 2: Process Analysis Tools (Part 1)	15	3%
HW 3: Process Analysis Tools (Part 2)	15	3%
HW 4: Process Analysis Tools (Part 3)	15	3%
HW 5: Determining Distribution Shapes	15	3%
HW 6: Control Charts (Xbar-R)	15	3%
HW 7: Control Charts (I-MR)	15	3%
HW 8: Control Charts (p, np, c)	15	3%
HW 9: Process Capability	15	3%
HW 10: Applying Control Charts and Process Capability – Example 1	15	3%
HW 11: Applying Control Charts and Process Capability – Example 2	15	3%
Participation Exercises (Gemba Walk, 5 S, Red Ball, Standard Work)	40	8%
Total	500	100%

Assignments are due on Tuesday by 11:00 pm following the week of assignment, unless otherwise noted. Late assignments will be penalized 5% and will not be accepted after grading is completed.

Course Grade Scale (points): A: 450 to 500, B: 400 to 449, C: 350 to 399, F: < 350 points

Linked MPH Program Learning Outcomes:

The student learning outcomes listed above address the following MPH Program PLOs:

- PLO1 The student will demonstrate mastery in each of the five core knowledge areas in public health: Biostatistics, Epidemiology, Social & Behavioral Sciences, Health Policy and Management, and Environmental Health Sciences.
- PLO2 The student will demonstrate proficiency in the four core functions of public health, as well as be able to explain the principles and interrelatedness of the ten essential public health services.
- PLO3 The student will demonstrate proficiency in using multiple informational resources to gather, analyze, apply and report solutions to public health problems with a special emphasis on rural community health.
- PLO4 The student will demonstrate proficiency in English communication in both oral (public speaking) and written forms as they pertain to conveying key concepts in public health.
- PLO5 The student will demonstrate proficiency in using computers and other forms of digital technology and media as they pertain to research, office management and public health issues.
- PLO6 The student will demonstrate independent and critical thinking skills.



Linked MHA Program Learning Outcomes:

The student learning outcomes listed on pp. 1 and 2 address the following MHA Program PLOs:

- PLO A.1 The student will identify appropriate sources and gather information, effectively and efficiently.
- PLO A.2 The student will appraise literature and data critically that enhances community health.
- PLO A.3 The student will develop, understand, and use data from performance, surveillance or monitoring systems.
- PLO A.5 The student will understand and apply basic statistical methods relevant to public health and health administration practice.
- PLO A.8 The student will analyze, design, or improve an organizational process, including the use of quality management, process improvement, marketing and information technology principles and tools.
- PLO A.10 The student will implement a decision-making process that incorporates evidence from a broad analysis that includes uncertainty, risk, stakeholders, and organizational values.
- PLO B.1 The student will speak and write in a clear, logical, and grammatical manner in formal and informal situations; prepare cogent business presentations; facilitate an effective group process.
- PLO B.2 The student will receive, process, and respond appropriately to information conveyed by others.
- PLO B.3 The student will perceive and respond appropriately to the spoken, unspoken, or partly expressed thoughts, feelings, and concerns of others.

Textbooks:

Brook, Q. (2022). *Lean Six Sigma & Minitab: The complete toolbox guide for business improvement*, 7th ed. Hampshire, UK: OPEX Resources. **[Required]**

Ross, Thomas K. (2014). Health care quality management: Tools and Applications. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass. [Recommended]

Supplemental Text:

Ross, Thomas K. (2021). *Applying Lean Six Sigma in health care.* Burlington, MA: Jones & Bartlett Learning.

Special Course Notes:

Proctoring Notice: The exams in this course will be proctored using ProctorU. You will need to have a webcam and microphone. If you are not able to provide these items, you must contact me by September 25th so we can arrange for you to take your exam on campus or via Zoom.

This course is fully online. Students are expected to have access to a reliable, high speed internet connection and a computer capable of accessing Canvas and the Virtual Computer Lab (through VMware). Microsoft Excel and JMP Pro (available by free download or through the Virtual Computing Lab) will be used in class. Technical specifications will be listed in Canvas.



Course Content:

Schedule	Assigned Readings
Week 1 (Begins Monday, August 21) - Zoom Session	
Synchronous Session - Wednesday, August 23 from 6:30 - 9:30 pm	
Quality in Health Care.	Ross (2014): Chapter 1
Quality guru or serial killer?	
What is quality in health care?	Article: Institute of Medicine (IOM),
Quality pioneers.	Executive Summary: "To Err is
Improving quality.	Human."
	http://www.nap.edu/catalog/9728.html
Week 2 (Begins Monday, August 28) - Online	
Overview of Other Quality Systems	Walsh & Shortell (2004)
Overview – Includes Lean Six Sigma	(11)
Quality Improvement (QI) in health care	
Roadmaps for improvement	
1	
Two jobs	
Error and Variation	Ross (2014): Chapter 2
Error and Variation	11035 (2014). Onapter 2
Insights into the Medical Decision-Making Process	
The Structure-Process-Outcome Paradigm	
Extensions to Structure-Process-Outcome	
Assignment: HW 1. Article review	
Week 3 (Begins Monday, September 4) – Zoom Session	
Synchronous Session - Wednesday, September 6 from 6:30 - 9:30	
pm	
Lean	
Lean Overview	Reference: Joint Commission – Doing
	More with Less: Lean Thinking and
Lean in Health Care	Patient Safety in Health Care
Lean in Health Care	T dilotte Garoty III Froditi F Garo
Edul III Floatil Gald	Reference: IHI White Paper (2003)
Patient Flow in Health Care	Treference. If it writte i aper (2003)
Tation Trown Troutin Sare	
Introduction of Process Analysis Tools	
Introduction of Fracess Analysis Tools	
HW 1 due	
IIIV I MUG	
Multi-Week Assignments	Assigned Readings
Process Analysis Tools.	Assigned Readings
	Ross (2014): Chapter 4
Exploration of seven process analysis tools: 1) Cause-and- affect diagrams: 2) Charlesharts: 2) Pure shorts:	1 1055 (2014). Chapter 4
effect diagrams; 2) Check sheets; 3) Run charts;	
4) Histograms; 5) Pareto charts; 6) Scatter diagrams;	
7) Stratification diagrams	
4	
Assignments: HW 2 - 4.	
These topics will be presented asynchronously by recorded video.	



Schedule	Assigned Readings
Week 4 (Begins Monday, September 11) - Online	3
A Brief History of Lean	
Change Management Videos	
Change Wanagement Videos	
Lean Glossary	
Assignment: Self-Guided Waste Walk Exercise and HW 3	
This is a participation exercise turned in as an assignment	
followed by a discussion during the Week 5 synchronous class.	
Review of Process Analysis Tools (continued)	
HW 2 due	
Week 5 (Begins Monday, September 18) – Zoom Session	
Synchronous Session - Wednesday, September 20 from 6:30 – 9:30	
pm	
Value Stream Mapping	Brook (2020)
Value Stream Mapping Presentation	
Value Stream Process Mapping Videos	
Value Stream and Process Mapping Metrics	
Assignment: JMP Intro (Extra Credit)	
Assignment: Value Stream Mapping Project	
Assignment: 5S Exercise and HW 4	
Sorting Out the Mess	
Review of Process Analysis Tools (continued)	
LIM 2 and Masta Walk Eversion Due	
HW 3 and Waste Walk Exercise Due Week 6 (Begins Monday, September 25) – Online	
Six Sigma Overview	Brook (2020)
Assignment: Red Bead Experiment	
Getting Things Done	
DMAIC Revisited	
DMAIC (Define)	
 Project Charter – Work on Draft 	
 House of Quality Exercise 	
Other Tools	
Kano Analysis	
o CTQ Trees	
o SIPOC	
HW 4 and 5S Exercise due	



Schedule	Assigned Readings
Week 7 (Begins Monday, October 2) – Zoom Session	, isolghou i toddings
Synchronous Session - Wednesday, October 4 from 6:30– 9:30 pm	
Getting Things Done	Brook (2020)
DMAIC (Measure)	Brook (2020)
Develop Process Measures	
Collect Process Measures	
Check the Data Quality	
 Understand Process Behavior – First Pass Analysis & 	
Determining Distribution Shapes	
Baseline Process Capability and Potential	
Tutorial: Determining Distribution Shapes	
Assignment: HW 5. Determining Distribution Shapes	
Assignment: JMP Extra Credit (Part 1)	
Review Project Charter (Draft)	
Trovion 1 reject Gharter (Brant)	
Exam 1 Review	
VSM Charter, Marie Kondo DB, and Red Bead Experiment Due	
Week 8 (Begins Monday, October 9) - Online	
Exam 1 (Online Exam)	
Via ProctorU between 6:00 pm, Wed, Oct 11 – 11:00 pm, Sat, Oct 14	
Catting This was Base	
Getting Things Done	
DMAIC (Analyze)	Brook (2020)
 Spaghetti Diagrams 	
 Brainstorming 	Ross (2014): Chapter 5 and 10
o 5 Whys	
Failure Mode and Effects Analysis	
 IHI site and video 	
IMD Intro (Extra Cradit) due	
JMP Intro (Extra Credit) due Multi-Week Assignments	Assigned Readings
Statistical Process Control (SPC)	Brook (2020)
Theory of variation and control charts for continuous and	2.331 (2020)
discrete variables.	
 Construct and interpret Xbar and R charts, I-MR charts, p 	
and np charts, and c and u charts	Ross (2014): Chapters 6 - 8
and tip offacto, and o and a offacto	1.000 (2011). Onaptoro
Assignments: HW 6 – 8	
Capability Analysis	
Assignment: HW 9	



Schedule	Assigned Readings
Week 9 (Begins Monday, October 16) - Zoom Session	
Synchronous Session - Wednesday, October 18 from 6:30– 9:30 pm	
Getting Things Done	Dr. al. (2020)
DMAIC (Improve) Fishbone Diagram	Brook (2020)
FMEA (Quick Overview) & (More Detailed)	Ross (2014): Chapter 5 and 10
FMEA Visual Management (John Shook Blog)	11000 (2011). Chapter o and 10
Visual Board	
 I Love Lucy Counterexample 	
 Pilot Studies (Do of Plan-Do-Study-Act) 	
IHI Triple Aim for Populations	
Review of Control Charts – Xbar and R Charts	
Review Project Charter (Draft)	
Assignment: HW 6	
Assignment. 1111 0	
HW 5 and JMP Extra Credit (Part 1) due	
Week 10 (Begins Monday, October 23) - Online	
Getting Things Done	Brook (2020)
DMAIC (Control)	
 Standard Work Exercise 	
Review of I-MR Charts	
Assignments – Standard Work Exercise and HW 7	
HW 6 due	
Week 11 (Begins Monday, October 30) - Zoom Session	
Synchronous Session - Wednesday, November 1 from 6:30- 9:30	
pm	
Control Charts – p, np, and c-Charts	
Control Charts – p, hp, and c-charts	
Value Stream Mapping presentations (Session 1 of 2)	
Review of p, np, and c-Charts; SPC Conclusion	
Assignment: HW 8	
HW 7 due	
Week 12 (Begins Monday, November 6) - Online	
Capability Analysis	
Review of Capability Analysis	
Assignment: HW 9/JMP Extra Credit (Part 2)	
HW 8 due	



Lean Six Sigma Green Belt for Healthcare Exam

Students taking this course will be eligible to sit for the Institute of Industrial and Systems Engineers Lean Six Sigma Green Belt for Healthcare Certification Exam.

Contact the Instructor regarding examination requirements and fees if you wish to take the Lean Six Sigma Green Belt for Healthcare Certification Exam.

Students taking the Lean Six Sigma Green Belt for Healthcare Certification Exam have the option of substituting that score for Exam 2. Take the Lean Six Sigma Green Belt for Healthcare Exam prior to 11:00 pm, Tuesday, December 5th if you want the result to substitute for Exam 2

Additional resources to help you prepare for the Lean Six Sigma Green Belt in Healthcare Exam are available in a module at the end of the course materials

available in a module at the end of the course materials	
Schedule	Assigned Readings
Week 13 (Begins Monday, November 13) - Zoom Session	
Synchronous Session - Wednesday, November 15 from 6:30- 9:30	
pm	
Value Stream Mapping presentations (Session 2 of 2)	
Assignment: HW 10	
HW 9 due	
Thanksgiving Holiday for Faculty and Students	(November 20 – 24)
Week 14 (Begins Monday, November 27) - Online	
LSS Review	
Assignment: HW 11	
7.001 g	
Register for the Lean Six Sigma Green Belt in Healthcare	
Exam with IISE (optional)	
Exam with hot (optional)	
Exam 2 Study Guide Posted	
Liver 2 Study Guide Posted	
HW 10 and JMP Extra Credit (Part 2) Due	
Exam Week	
Week 15 (Begins Monday, December 4) (On-Line)	
1007.44	
HW 11 due	
Exam 2 Practice Exam	
Assignment: Exam 2 (Online Exam)	
Proctored via ProctorU. Take the exam between 6:00 pm,	
Wednesday, December 6 and 11:00 pm, Saturday, December 9,	
2023.	



Other Class Policies (Refer to University Policies & Information posted to Canvas for Complete Listing)

Attendance:

Regular and punctual attendance at synchronous Zoom class sessions is encouraged. If a student misses a class, the student is responsible for obtaining any information distributed during those times. Synchronous Zoom class sessions will be recorded and posted to Canvas.

Participation:

Attendance and participation in class is important. Students will be frequently asked to review concepts and online presentations prior to the scheduled class, so that class time can be used for hands-on activities and work on assignments. Students will often be building Excel and JMP models with the Instructor.

Academic Honesty:

Any student who commits an act of scholastic dishonesty is subject to discipline. Scholastic dishonesty includes, but is not limited to, cheating, plagiarism, collusion, the submission for credit of any work or materials that are attributable in whole or in part to another person, taking an examination for another person, any act designed to give unfair advantage to a student or the attempt to commit such acts.

Cheating

Dishonesty of any kind involving examinations, assignments, alteration of records, wrongful possession of examinations, and unpermitted submission of duplicate papers for multiple classes or unauthorized use of keys to examinations is considered cheating. Cheating includes but is not limited to:

- Using or attempting to use unauthorized materials to aid in achieving a better grade on a component of a class.
- Falsifying or inventing any information, including citations, on an assigned exercise.
- Helping or attempting to help another in an act of cheating or plagiarism.

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is presenting the words or ideas of another person as if they were your own. Materials, even ideas, borrowed from others necessitate full and complete acknowledgment of the original authors. Offering the work of another as one's own is plagiarism and is unacceptable in the academic community. A lack of adequate recognition constitutes plagiarism, whether it utilizes a few sentences, whole paragraphs, articles, books, audio-visual materials, or even the writing of a fellow student. In addition, the presentation of material gathered, assembled, or formatted by others as one's own is also plagiarism. Because the university takes such misconduct very seriously, the student is urged to carefully read university policies on Misconduct in Research and Other Scholarly Activity 05.00. Examples of plagiarism are:

- Submitting an assignment as if it were one's own work when, in fact, it is at least partly the work of another.
- Submitting a work that has been purchased or otherwise obtained from an Internet source or another source.
- Incorporating the words or ideas of an author into one's paper without giving the author due credit

Withdrawing from Class:

See University Policies & Information posted to Canvas.

Disability Accommodations:

See University Policies & Information posted to Canvas.



References:

Aft, L. S. (2000). Work measurement & methods improvement. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Belson, D. In Hall, R. W. (Ed.). (2006). *Patient flow: Reducing delay in healthcare delivery.* New York, NY: Springer.

Brassard, M., & Ritter, D. (1994). *The memory jogger II: A pocket guide of tools for continuous improvement and effective planning* (2nd ed.). Salem, NH: GOAL/QPC.

Brook, Q. (2020). Lean Six Sigma and Minitab (6^h ed.). Winchester, UK: OPEX Resources.

Clark, D. E., Cushing, B. M., & Bredenberg, C. E. (1998). Monitoring hospital trauma mortality using statistical process control methods. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, *186*(6), 630-635.

Codman, E. A. (1996). *A study in hospital efficiency.* Oakbrooke Terrace, IL: The Joint Commission. (Reprint; originally self-printed in 1917)

Donabedian, A. (1988). The quality of care: How can it be assessed? *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 260(12), 1743-1748.

Furterer, S. L. (2014). Lean six sigma case studies in the healthcare enterprise. London: Springer-Verlag.

Furterer, S. L. (Ed.) (2009). *Lean six sigma in service: Applications and case studies.* Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

George, M. L., Rowlands, D., Price, M., & Maxey, J. (2005). *The lean six sigma pocket toolbook.* New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.

Graham, N. (1995). Quality in health care: Theory and applications. Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publishers.

Hall, R. W. (Ed.). (2006). Patient flow: Reducing delay in healthcare delivery. New York, NY: Springer.

James, B. C., Chassin, M. R., Goldberg, H. I., King, J. G., & Todd, J. S. (Fall 1993). Implementing practice guidelines through clinical quality improvement. *Frontiers of Health Services Management*, *10*(1), 3-37.

Joint Commission. (2006). *Doing more with less: Lean thinking and patient safety in health care*. Chicago: Joint Commission Resources.

Lane, S., Weeks, A., Scholefield, H., & Alfirevic, Z. (2007). Monitoring obstetricians' performance with statistical process control charts. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *114*, 614-618.



References (continued):

Lee, D. S., Tu, J. V., Chong, A., & Alter, D. A. (2008). Patient satisfaction and its relationship with quality and outcomes of care after acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*, *118*, 1938-1945.

Lighter, D. E., & Fair, D. C. (2004). *Quality management in health care: Principles and methods* (2nd ed.). Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.

Mayer, T, & Jensen, K. (2009). *Hardwiring flow: Systems and processes for seamless patient care*. Gulf Breeze, FL: Fire Starter Publishing.

McLaughlin, C. P., Johnson, J. K., & Sollecito, W. A. (2012). *Implementing continuous quality improvement: A global casebook.* Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.

McLaughlin, C. P., & Kaluzny, A. D. (2006). *Continuous quality improvement in health care* (3rd ed.). Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.

Munro, R. A., Ramu, G., & Zrymiak, D. J. (2015). *Certified Six Sigma Green Belt Handbook* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.

Neuhauser, D. (Ed.). (1999). Florence Nightingale: Measuring hospital care outcomes. Oakbrooke Terrace, IL: The Joint Commission.

Optimizing Patient Flow: Moving Patients Smoothly Through Acute Care Settings. (2003). IHI Innovation Series white paper. Boston: Institute for Healthcare Improvement.

Protzman, C., Mayzell, G., & Kerpchar, J. (2011). Leveraging lean in healthcare. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

Ross, Thomas K. (2014). *Health care quality management: Tools and applications.* San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Ross, Thomas K. (2021). Applying Lean Six Sigma in health care. Burlington, MA: Jones & Bartlett Learning.

Rother, M., & Shook, J. (2009). *Learning to see: Value stream mapping to create value and eliminate muda.* Cambridge, MA: Lean Enterprise Institute.

Sahney, V. K, Dutkewych, J. I., & Schramm, W. R. (1989). Quality improvement process: The foundation for excellence in health care. *Journal of the Society for Health Systems*, *1*(1), 17-29.

Shahian, D. M., Williamson, W. A., Svensson, L. G., Restuccia, J. D., & D'Agostino, R. S. (1996). Applications of statistical quality control to cardiac surgery. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery*, *62*(5), 1351-1359.

Snee, Ron. (2010). Lean Six Sigma – getting better all the time. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*. 1. 9-29. 10.1108/20401461011033130.

Vila, H., Soto, R., Cantor, A. B., & Mackey, D. (September 2003). Comparative outcome analysis of procedures performed in physician offices and ambulatory surgical centers. *Archives of Surgery, 138,* 991-995.



References (continued):

Walshe, K., & Shortell, S. M. (2004). When things go wrong: How health care organizations deal with major failures. *Health Affairs*, 23(3), 103-111.

Woodcock, E. W. (2009). *Mastering patient flow: Using lean thinking to improve your practice operations* (3rd ed.). Englewood, CO: MGMA.

Note: The Instructor retains the right to change this syllabus.