

**Assessment Plan Review Rubric**  
**Undergraduate Program – Assessment Plan Quality Review**

<b>Program Name:</b>		<b>Assessment Cycle: 2022-2023</b>				
<b>Does the program include embedded certificate(s)?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<b>Certificate Name(s)</b>				
<b>Instructional Site(s)/Delivery Method (Choose all that apply):</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Tyler	<input type="checkbox"/> Longview University Center	<input type="checkbox"/> Palestine	<input type="checkbox"/> Houston Engineering Center	<input type="checkbox"/> Health Science Center	<input type="checkbox"/> Online-only
<b>Program Mission Statement</b>						
	<b>Meets Criteria</b>	<b>Update Needed</b>	<b>Comments</b>			
Aligns with the university and college mission statements and strategic plans	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Provides a clear and concise description of the program purpose	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Addresses the program goals for student achievement and professional opportunities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>Program Level Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) &amp; Program Outcome</b>						
Clearly describe what students know, think, or do upon completing the program	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Verbs provide evidence of active learning based on the revised Bloom’s Taxonomy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Each SLO specifies a single student learning proficiency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
SLOs are observable and measurable	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
A <b>Program Outcome</b> is assessed for overall program quality, rigor, relevance and student achievement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>Assessment Methods</b>						
Summarize the process to collect information including details of the assessment instrument	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
SLOs are assessed for each outcome using one to three measures of identified signature assignments and are assessed using at least one <b>Direct</b> Assessment Method	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
At least one course in a <b>3-12 SCH</b> embedded certification or microcredential is assessed using one to three methods and	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

**Assessment Plan Review Rubric**  
**Undergraduate Program – Assessment Plan Quality Review**

at least one <b>Direct</b> Assessment Method. Additionally, microcredentials or Certificates <b>more than 12 SCH</b> must include <b>direct</b> assessment in two or more courses.			
Formative and summative assessment methods are used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Assessment instruments are included as support documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Success criteria identify expected performance goals	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Program Evaluation Outcome:</b> Indirect and Direct measures may be used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Criteria for Success</b>			
Specifically align with measures and outcomes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Represent a reasonable "stretch" to emphasize quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Related Courses</b>			
Program Course Inventory is current and current syllabi are linked on department webpage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
The Curriculum Map documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Each Program SLO is assessed in at least one required course</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Each required course supports and aligns with at least one Program SLO</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Courses and SLOs for embedded certificates and microcredentials</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Assessment Results</b>			
Include total student population, sample size, #/% of students who met the success criterion for each rubric/scale dimension and results are disaggregated by delivery method/instructional location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Align with corresponding success criteria	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Redacted sample student work is provided	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Analysis &amp; Action Plans for Seeking Improvement</b>			
Faculty analyzed assessment results for each <b>SLO</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Faculty determined an Action Plan based on their analysis specific to each SLO and assessment measure (e.g., <i>Instruction, Student Participation, and/or Assessment</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Assessment Plan Review Rubric**  
**Undergraduate Program – Assessment Plan Quality Review**

Faculty analyzed assessment results for each <b>Program Outcome</b> assessment measure and determined an action plan for continuous improvement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>“Closing the Loop” Follow-Up Statements for 2022-2023 AY Action Plans</b>			
A summary of the implementation and/or effect of previous action plans is documented	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Overall</b>			
<b>The department or unit staff identify expected outcomes, analyze the extent to which outcomes are achieved, and determine continuous improvement planning based on assessment results.</b>	Comments:		

Reviewers			
<b>Assessment Profesional:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
<b>Program Coordinator:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
<b>Department Chair:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
<b>AIE Office:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	